UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,598	05/18/2006	Andrew David Laver Humphris	SHP-PT099	1836
3624 VOLPE AND K	7590 04/14/200 <b>KOENIG, P.C</b> .	EXAMINER		
	ZA, SUITE 1600	RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
PHILADELPH	· <del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,598	HUMPHRIS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert R. Raevis	2856		

	Robert R. Raevis	2856						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed waternoon.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100					
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further coll (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);						
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a								
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-6,12-19,21,25,28</u> separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allow</li> </ol>	able claim(s).							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29,31 and 34-38. Claim(s) objected to: 45 and 46.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>45 and 46</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-6,8,11-19,21,26,28 and 39-44</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2,3,20,22,23,25,3</u>	<u>2 and 33</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Robert R. Raevis/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2856						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Where is there support for claim 49 (which is similar to claim 42).

Continuation of 13. Other: The 112(2) rejection regarding claim 42 was not addressed. Also, claim 49 (like claim 42) does not seem to be directed to elected claim 7. Finally, claims 20 and 22 employ a "the force generating means" which is not consistent with base claim 16's "force generator", and this must be addressed before a Notice of Allowability may be mailed.